

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-19 16:22:00

2. Agency: 015

3. Bureau: 45

4. Name of this Investment: Web Requisition Tracking System / Integrated Procurement System (Web RTS/IPS)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 015-45-01-01-01-2560-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? *

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

The Office of Procurement (Procurement) is responsible for, and guarantees, all award and administration of contracts for equipment, supplies, and services by IRS is performed within Federal, Treasury, and IRS statutes and regulations. This includes ensuring goods and services needed by the IRS are acquired in a timely, effective, and ethical manner while meeting the needs of the IRS at an equitable cost. To ensure high quality and efficiency, Procurement implemented and manages IRS' automated system essential to fulfilling its mission to effectively manage all procurement business process activities. The system is called IPS (Integrated Procurement System) and automates all acquisition activities, from requisition initiation to contract closeout, including purchase card buys and receipt and acceptance. IPS improves/streamlines acquisition business processes while ensuring compliance with business rules and controls essential to good business performance and legal requirements. IPS manages all process tracking and ensures development/maintenance of full documentation, ensuring Management has essential decision-making information and control tools that would otherwise be unavailable. Before IPS, developing/approving requisitions, solicitations, contracts, receipt and acceptance, and payment was a time-consuming manual process for all IRS units. In addition to automating Procurement's processes, IPS provides all other business units with highly automated, effective tools for acquisition request and resource acceptance processes. The continued productivity of the Procurement, as well as other business units, is highly dependent on continued availability of IPS, funded by this investment. This investment also includes planned productivity improvements through enhancements and security improvements, including platform changes and activities required to meet all IRS and FISMA standards compliance. Called IPS, formerly the total system was called webRTS/IPS (webRTS/webIPS), the name of the core set of application/database components underlying the total system. This investment covers all aspects of delivering and managing the continuous operation, maintenance, and enhancement activities to ensure future compliance with standards by the entire system. IPS is a legacy system under IRS' classification method, but uses IRS' EA management methods. Prior to FY 2010, this system was a "Non-Major System", UPI 015-45-01-01-02-2428-00.

- a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned) alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a**

risk management plan and risk register.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? *

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- **Name:** *
- **Phone Number:** *
- **Email:** *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

Financial management system name(s)	System acronym	Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number
*	*	*

a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *

- computer system security requirement;
- internal control system requirement;
- core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
- Federal accounting standard;
- U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
- this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
- Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY1 and earlier	PY 2009	CY 2010	BY 2011	BY+1 2012	BY+2 2013	BY+3 2014	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SUBTOTAL:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL(including FTE costs)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)
TIRNO06D000190019	CPFF: Cost Plus Fixed Fee	Y	2007-09-28	2007-09-28	2011-11-28	\$27.0	*	*	*	*	*
TIRNO08K00187	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2008-04-01	2008-04-01	2013-03-31	\$3.3	*	*	*	*	*
TIRNO08K00463	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2011-09-29	\$0.3	*	*	*	*	*
TIRNO99D000050261	CPFF: Cost Plus Fixed Fee	Y	2009-09-14	2009-09-14	2009-11-29	\$0.0	*	*	*	*	*
TIRNO09K00226	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2009-03-31	2009-04-01	2013-03-31	\$1.4	*	*	*	*	*
TIRNO10K00077	FFP: Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-01-01	2010-01-01	2010-12-31	\$0.2	*	*	*	*	*

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

*

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a.If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2009	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of GAO IT Security Audit issues closed, increasing information and operational system security.	20	15	17
2009	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage of full system availability to users from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM Eastern time and during designated special or emergency processing periods, ensuring automation-dependent procurement processes can be performed.	95.00%	95.00%	99%
2009	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage reduction in manual database data fixes necessary to correct system-allowed data entry errors by using root-cause analysis to correct system data entry bugs. Actual FY 2008 count is 1,450.	100.00%	10%	27%
2009	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of days for delivery of annual year-end procurement book closing in support of clean IRS fiscal year audit opinion, with results obtained in Q1 for the previous FY close.	2.00	2.00	2.00
2010	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of GAO IT Security Audit issues closed, increasing information and operational system security.	3	0	

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				Rest of issues require completion of system security rewrite to close.			
2010	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage of full system availability to users from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM Eastern time and during designated special or emergency processing periods, ensuring automation-dependent procurement processes can be performed.	95.00%	95.00%	
2010	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage reduction in manual database data fixes necessary to correct system-allowed data entry errors by using root-cause analysis to correct system data entry bugs. Actual FY 2009 count is 1,059.	100.00%	10.00%	
2010	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of days for delivery of annual year-end procurement book closing in support of clean IRS fiscal year audit opinion, with results obtained in Q1 for the previous FY close.	2.00	2.00	
2011	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of GAO IT Security Audit issues closed, increasing information and operational system security	0	0	
2011	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage of full system availability to users from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM Eastern time	95.00%	95.00%	

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				and during designated special or emergency processing periods, ensuring			
2011	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage reduction in manual database data fixes necessary to correct system-allowed data entry errors by using root-cause analysis to correct system data entry bugs. Actual FY 2010 count is 1,170.	100.00%	10.00%	
2011	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of days for delivery of annual year-end procurement book closing in support of clean IRS fiscal year audit opinion, with results obtained in Q1 for the previous FY close.	2.00	2.00	
2012	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of GAO IT Security Audit issues closed, increasing information and operational system security	0	0	
2012	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Percentage of full system availability to users from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM Eastern time and during designated special or emergency processing periods, ensuring automation-dependent procurement processes can be performed.	95.00%	95.00%	
2012	Effectively	*	*	Percentage	100.00%	10.00%	

Table 1: Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Managed U.S. Government Finances			reduction in manual database data fixes necessary to correct system-allowed data entry errors by using root-cause analysis to correct system data entry bugs. Actual FY 2010 count is 1,170.			
2012	Effectively Managed U.S. Government Finances	*	*	Number of days for delivery of annual year-end procurement book closing in support of clean IRS fiscal year audit opinion, with results obtained in Q1 for the previous FY close.	2.00	2.00	

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline

Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
ELMS release of complete webRTS/IPS CBT curriculum including security remediation operational change CBT, providing mandatory webRTS/IPS user training through ELMS with training credit recording	\$0.3	\$0.3	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2010-12-31		85.00%	86.00%
webRTS/IPS security remediation software conversion completed, providing a security-enhanced system compliant with FISMA and Infrastructure mandates	\$13.3	\$9.9	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2010-12-31		70.00%	70.00%
FY 2009 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	\$13.1	\$13.5	2008-10-01	2008-10-01	2009-09-30	2009-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
FY 2010 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	\$13.8	\$10.4	2009-10-01	2009-10-01	2010-09-30		75.00%	75.00%
FY 2011 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2010-10-01		2011-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2012 O&M for webRTS/IPS,	*	*	2011-10-01		2012-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing								
FY 2013 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2012-10-01		2013-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2014 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2013-10-01		2014-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2015 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2014-10-01		2015-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2016 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2015-10-01		2016-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2017 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2016-10-01		2017-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2018 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2017-10-01		2018-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2019 O&M for webRTS/IPS, eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing	*	*	2018-10-01		2019-09-30		0.00%	0.00%
FY 2020 O&M for webRTS/IPS,	*	*	2019-10-01		2020-09-30		0.00%	0.00%

1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline								
Description of Milestones	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
eCatalog support, and eCatalog COTS licensing								

* - Indicates data is redacted.